UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,878	11/08/2001	Riccardo Genghini	14897	7382
7590 06/25/2007 SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER			EXAMINER	
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			MURDOUGH, JOSHUA A	
Garden City, NY 11550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/010,878	GENGHINI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joshua Murdough	3609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to a cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6-30 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/08/07 is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the contraction is objected to by the Examiner.	ccepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3609

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figure 2 shows two certificate issuers (ZA) when the specification refers to "a" or "the certificate issuer". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length is in excess. The abstract should be between 50 and 150 words and no more than 15 lines. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 3609

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 6-30 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 7. For example, in claim 1, line 1, the phrase "in particular" lacks the definiteness to say for certain that the document is a contract. Also, in claim 1, line 7, "...whereby the fields consist in each case of a standardized field descriptor..." the lack of commas makes it difficult to understand. As it is written, it is unclear if it is talking about each case of a standardized field descriptor, or if the fields, in each case, consist of a standardized field descriptor. These are provided as examples, and are not meant to be a complete list.

Art Unit: 3609

8. Claims 2-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 9. Regarding claim 2, the phrase "and/or" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear which, of the limitations are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). In an effort to expedite prosecution, this will be interpreted to say "or."
- 10. As to claims 3-5, these claims depend from claim 2, rejected above, and do not yield further clarity to the subject matter.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

11. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

12. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims are to a document that is simply filled out by the parties involved in the contract. Therefore, it is not classified as any of the statutory basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 14. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asay (5,903,882).

Art Unit: 3609

15. As to claim 1, Asay shows:

Digital document (D), in particular a contract, for transactions or conclusions of contracts between contracting parties (Column 2, lines 21-33)

in a communications network (I), (Figure 3)

having a document format with standardized fields for the indication of identities (Column 5, lines 29-39, use of X.509)

of the contracting parties (A, B) (relying and subscribing parties, Figure 3)

and for the indication of contractual modalities, (distinction is made between provider, subscriber; and purchaser, relying party; Figures 3 and 6)

whereby the fields consist in each case of a standardized field descriptor and at least one allowed value for this field descriptor, so that in particular on the basis of digital signatures (S) an automated carrying out of transactions or conclusion of contracts is made possible. (Column 4, lines 28-38)

It is noted that, while addressed above, "for transactions or conclusions of contracts between contracting parties in a communications network" is intended use, and therefore granted little patentable weight.

16. As to claim 2, Asay further shows:

further fields are provided for the indication of the legal statuses of the contracting parties (A, B) (Column 4, lines 3-17).

17. As to claims 3-5, these claims further define what is expected for certain of the alternate fields listed in claim 2. As there are no further limitations imposed on the field used in the rejection of claim 2, these claims are also rejected under the same basis.

#### Conclusion

- 18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 19. Dziewit (4,981,370) shows more of the hardware and software used in creating a digital contract.

Art Unit: 3609

20. Merkle (4,881,264) gives more details in regards to creating and verifying a digital signature.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Murdough whose telephone number is (571) 270-3270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joshua Murdough

MATTHEW S. GART
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
SECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600